



Athena SWAN: Improving gender diversity in STEMM Gender Summit 10, Tokyo, May 2017

Sarah Dickinson Hyams

Head of Equality Charters, Equality Challenge Unit





History of the Charter, and recent changes

Athena SWAN Charter

- = Recognition scheme of excellence in women's employment in STEMM
- = **2005:** 10 members
- = 2017: 143 members

STEMM = Science, Technology, Engineering, Maths, Medicine







Athena SWAN: post-May 2015



= Recognition scheme of commitment to gender equality across institutions



- = Expanded to take in AHSSBL and PSS staff
- = Adapted from Athena SWAN and ECU's gender equality charter mark trial (GEM), based on consultation

STEMM = Science, Technology, Engineering, Maths, Medicine AHSSBL = Arts, Humanities, Social Sciences, Business, Law

Athena SWAN: Principles

- We acknowledge that academia cannot reach its full potential unless it can benefit from the talents of all.
- We commit to advancing gender equality in academia, in particular, addressing the loss of women across the career pipeline and the absence of women from senior academic, professional and support roles.



- We commit to addressing unequal gender representation across academic disciplines and professional and support functions. In this we recognise disciplinary differences including: the relative underrepresentation of women in senior roles in arts, humanities, social sciences, business and law (AHSSBL) and the particularly high loss rate of women in science, technology, engineering, mathematics and medicine (STEMM)
- = We commit to tackling the gender pay gap.
- We commit to removing the obstacles faced by women, in particular, at major points of career development and progression including the transition from PhD into a sustainable academic career.

Athena SWAN: Principles

- Equality Challenge Unit
- We commit to addressing the negative consequences of using short-term contracts for the retention and progression of staff in academia, particularly women.



- We commit to tackling the discriminatory treatment often experienced by trans people.
- We acknowledge that advancing gender equality demands commitment and action from all levels of the organisation and in particular active leadership from those in senior roles.
- We commit to making and mainstreaming sustainable structural and cultural changes to advance gender equality, recognising that initiatives and actions that support individuals alone will not sufficiently advance equality.
- All individuals have identities shaped by several different factors. We commit to considering the intersection of gender and other factors wherever possible.

Athena SWAN: award levels

Equality Challenge Unit

Bronze

- self-assessment and analysis
- identify issues and challenges
- plan activities on a solid foundation

Silver

- additional to Bronze
- evidence of impact and achievement

Gold

- significant record of activity and impact
- beacons for gender equality and good practice



Athena SWAN awards

688 award holders in total (as of May 2017):

Bronze

- 81 Bronze universities
- 13 Bronze research institutes
- 378 Bronze departments

Silver

- 13 Silver universities
- 3 Silver research institutes
- 172 Silver departments

Gold

- 8 Gold departments
- Uni criteria released in July 2015

Advancing equality and diversity in universities and colleges





325 applications in 2016 Success rate ≈ 67%

Nov 2016 round:

- = 167 submissions
- = 114 awards
- = 68% success rate
 - 67% Pre-May
 - 70% Post-May





Benefits and impact of Athena SWAN

How does it work?



- = Athena SWAN framework requires you to:
 - 1. Collect data (quantitative and qualitative)
 - 2. Critically analyse data



- 3. Identify reasons for exclusion and under-representation
- 4. Develop a 4 year action plan to address these
- 5. Show progress over time
- = individualised approach; not a box-ticking exercise

Data
$$\rightarrow$$
 Analysis \rightarrow Action

How does it work?



- = Athena SWAN provides a framework for continuous action
- This is recognised through the conferring of awards that recognise steps on the journey
- It is necessary for the whole unit to pull together to deliver sustainable change



Advancing equality and diversity in universities and colleges

Athena SWAN Questions

= Analyses requested in four main sections:

- Key career transition points
- = Career development
- Flexibility and managing career breaks
- = Organisation and culture





Athena SWAN

Process

- = Peer reviewed
- = Two submission rounds per year
- = Applications grouped by subject area
- Five panellists: academics, E&D/HR, subject specialists
 (drawn from volunteers who are all trained)
- = Conflicts of interest accounted for
- = One chair, one moderator, one note taker
- = Applicants have right to appeal decision
- = ECU may put application to new panel if decision is inconsistent





Why does it work?



= Set up by and for the academic and research community



- = Led and championed by senior academics: buy-in from the top
- = Requires thorough self-assessment and reflection to understand individual data and challenges
- = Promotes staff consultation and engagement
- = Awards are only valid for 4 years

What is Athena SWAN all about?



- Commitment to removing barriers that contribute to underrepresentation
- Taking a targeted approach to issues that may be of internal or external origin
- = Good practice and honesty
- Hiring or promoting people <u>because</u> they are underrepresented
- Producing reports and winning awards
- Planning to "suggest"
- ✓ Operating a deficit model



The benefits of Athena SWAN



- = Highlights areas to make positive changes
- Provides a focal point for existing informal good practices



- = Increases awareness of career progression issues
- = Encourages increased transparency
- = Demonstrates good working environment to job applicants
- = Flexible to context

Benefits: Evaluation report (2014)



- = Evidence of **sustainable** change
- Women improved visibility, increased selfconfidence, enhanced leadership skills
- All staff positive differences in career
 satisfaction, development opportunities
- = Administrative and technical staff report a greater sense of **belonging**

"[Athena SWAN is] the most effective lever for change I have come across in 12 years of equality work." – Institutional champion







Data from ASSET 2016: experiences of gender equality in STEMM academia and their intersections with ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability and age

The benefits of Athena SWAN



= Equality work delivers benefits to research

"We began a program of change in our practices and culture to enhance our position as a worldleading, research-led Chemistry Department. The Athena SWAN process has been immensely helpful in this... Our increased collaborative working has led to our Research Volume increasing from £8M in 2007-8 to £13M this year."

Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London







Recognition of impact



- = 2011 NIHR funding and Athena SWAN silver
 - = Biomedical Research Centres (≤£110M per centre)
 - = Biomedical Research Units (≤£10M per unit)
 - = Patient Safety Care Centres (≤£10M per centre)



- = 2012 Welsh National Institute for Social Care and Health Research (NISCHR) funding and Athena SWAN silver
 = NISCHR Centres and Units
- = 2016 HEA National Review of gender equality
 - = HEIs should achieve an Athena SWAN award within 3 years
 - = The HEA should establish Athena SWAN in Ireland on a permanently
 - Research-funding agencies should require HEIs to have attained a bronze Athena SWAN award within 3 years and a silver award within

7 years in order to be eligible for funding Advancing equality and diversity in universities and colleges

Recognition of impact



- = Royal Society of Edinburgh report
 - = 3 of 6 key recommendations mention Athena SWAN



= SFC outcome agreements ask about AS activity

"It is estimated that a doubling of women's high-level skill contribution to the economy would be worth as much as **£170M/yr** to Scotland's national income."

- = Nuffield Council on Bioethics report
 - = Investigated culture of scientific research in UK
 - = Recommends for all HEIs to commit to Athena SWAN





Efficacy in other jurisdictions

GENDER-NET: creating a framework



- = ECU was involved in a European Commission FP7 funded project: GENDER-NET - aimed to address challenges facing research institutions in achieving gender equality in research and innovation
- = 12 partners to the project, which was led by CNRS in France; 2 non-European partners in Canada and US
- Part of the project explored Athena SWAN methodology & other schemes. Athena SWAN was the only scheme to be assessed as both successful and transferable -Next steps: GENDER-NET will draft a framework for a transnational award Advancing equality and diversity in universities and colleges

Athena SWAN: Republic of Ireland



Cross-sector initiative, supported by theHigher Education Authority (HEA)



- Committee established to advise and act as conduit between ECU and HE sector
- = STEMM focused
- = Reviewed and tailored processes, delivered regional workshops
- Since April 2015, five universities and six departments
 have been successful in gaining Bronze awards

Athena SWAN: Australia



- = STEMM focused pilot includes 40 institutions universities, medical research institutes and publicly-funded research agencies
- = Adapted to Australian culture and context e.g. inclusion of indigenous Australians
- = Pilot launched in Sept 2015, will run until Sept 2018
- ECU is supporting SAGE a programme in the Australian
 Academy of Sciences who is managing the pilot,
 including delivering regional training and workshops





What next?

Gold university awards



- = Launched in Summer 2015
- Majority of departments must hold
 Silver awards, with at least 1 Gold
- = Recognises:



- = significant & sustained record of achievement
- = addressing challenges across the institution
- = promoting gender equality internally & externally
- = Addresses diversity in curriculum & pedagogy

Final thought.....good practice benefits

"I know that some are unhappy with its focus – some are men worried about unfairness, and some are women who sense they might become victims of tokenism.

But most of the changes proposed by departments – such as holding key meetings during core hours, or promoting flexible working – end up benefitting both sexes, especially those who are parents and caregivers, making the profession a little bit more friendly."





Dr Jenny Rohn, UCL







Further information available



Website

www.ecu.ac.uk/equality-charter-marks/athenaswan/



Athena SWAN handbook

http://www.ecu.ac.uk/equalitycharters/athena-swan/athena-swan-resources/

Email

athenaswan@ecu.ac.uk